Novel two-component interbody fusion device improves bone-implant interface without compromising stability: A cadaver study

H.-J. Wilke¹, D. Volkheimer¹, B. Robie², F.B. Christensen^{2,3}

¹Institute of Orthopaedic Research and Biomechanics, Center of Musculoskeletal Research

(zmfu), Ulm University, Germany

²FBC Device ApS, Risskov, Denmark



www.biomechanics.de

³Aarhus University Hospital, Orthopaedic Research Laboratory, Aarhus, Denmark



ulm university universität UUUIM

hans-joachim.wilke@uni-ulm.de

Introduction

A novel two-piece articulating anterior lumbar interbody fusion device (ALIF) allows lordotic adjustments of the treated segment until a supplementary pedicle screw system is rigidly fixed. A potential benefit is the reduction of bone-implant interface motions with a potential positive impact on fusion time and sagittal balance.

Three-dimensional intervertebral motion was measured using an optical motion motion capturing system (Vicon MX, Vicon, UK). Paired student's t-tests were performed to determine statistical significance at a p = 0.05 level.

The aim of the study is to compare the spinal intersegmental motion and dynamic implant alignment of a two-piece ALIF fusion device with a one-piece ALIF in a 360° setting.

Material and Methods

Seven lumbosacral (L3-S1) human cadaver specimens were tested (aged 50-60, 4 males and 3 females) in a universal spine tester. The flexibility of the intact specimen, the specimen instrumented with a two-component ALIF (Statur[®] -L, FBC Device ApS, Denmark) and a one-piece ALIF (PezoTM –A, ulrich GmbH & Co. KG, Germany) (Fig. 1), both supplemented with a pedicle screw system (tangoRSTM, ulrich GmbH & Co. KG, Germany) was tested using pure moments of \pm 7.5 Nm in flexion/extension, lateral bending, and axial rotation. For assessment of relative motions between the interbody devices and the adjoining endplates (Fig. 2), fluoroscopic videos were captured during motion.

Results

No statistically significant differences in rigidity were found between the oneand two-piece ALIF in a 360° setup, while both configurations significantly reduced the range of motion compared to the intact condition (Fig. 3).

Significantly less motion at the implant-endplate interface was found for the two-piece device in comparison to the one-piece ALIF in flexion/extension (the only motion direction investigated for this purpose) (Fig. 4).



* p < 0.05



Fig. 1: A Computer model of the two-piece ALIF (From left to right: The bottom component, the top component and the top component inverted to show the articulating region). **B** One-piece ALIF (Left: View from top; right: View from front).



Fig. 3: ROM results for the three principal motion directions flexion/extension (F/E), lateral bending (LB) and axial rotation (AR) at the treated level for the interbody devices in a 360° setting. Colored bars represent the range of motion, whereas grey bars represent the neutral zone.



Fig. 4: Relative motion between implants and adjoining vertebral bodies in flexion/extension in a 360° setting.

Fig. 2: Articulation characteristics of the one- and two-piece ALIF in flexion/extension. The angle α represents the relative motion between the implants and the adjoining endplates. The design of the two-piece ALIF allows limited motion of the components to achieve better dynamic implant-endplate alignment.

Discussion

The two-piece ALIF significantly improved the relative motion at the boneimplant interface, which theoretically can reduce the risk of implant subsidence, improve bony healing and establish better sagittal balance.

The study also showed that both ALIF concepts in a 360° setting significantly reduced the range of motion in comparison to the intact state.

Further, there was no statistically significant difference in intervertebral motion between the standard ALIF and the two-component ALIF, when supported with pedicle screws.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by FBC Device ApS, Denmark